

Appendices

Appendix A: Scale of development in the Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres..... 1
 Table A Scale of development in the Rural Service Centres 1
 Appendix B: Core Strategy Delivery Plan..... 3

Consultee/Agent	ID	Nature Of Response:	Response	Officer Comments
Appendix A: Scale of development in the Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres				
Mr Zulficar Ali, Environment Agency	CSFC/859	Observations	Please refer to the above comments with regards to how East Riding of Yorkshire Council has arrived at the percentages of growth.	Comments noted.
Table A Scale of development in the Rural Service Centres				
Mr Ian Owston	CSFC/83	Object	Adding a further 75 dwellings to Wilberfoss without prospect of additional employment in the village will lead to yet more commuting to centres of employment (York, Leeds) and simply exacerbate existing horrendous traffic problems on the A1079.	Comments noted.
N Rowland, Savills on behalf of British Heart Foundation	CSFC/408	Object	In respect of the housing distribution, table 3 of the Core Strategy sets out that some 9 % of the housing required or 2,255 homes is to be apportioned across both the Primary and Secondary Rural Service Centres. We note that using the Council's blanket approach of 10 dwellings per annum in the PRSCs and 5 Dwellings in the SRSCs that Appendix 1 then sets out the delivery of these homes and identifies the numbers for each of the	Comments noted. Revised policy S2 of the Further Consultation clearly states 'whichever is the lower'. Appendix A follows that through.

Consultee/Agent	ID	Nature Of Response:	Response	Officer Comments
			<p>settlements.</p> <p>However, we are concerned that Appendix 1 then appears to adapt the cap of either 5 /10 dwellings per annum and alters the annual figures in some of the villages without justification or explanation. It is unclear therefore whether the 10/5 dwellings per annum is actually a cap or only indicative. There needs to be a clear policy framework and Appendix 1 causes some confusion therefore in how the policy is to operate.</p> <p>In respect of Stamford Bridge Appendix 1 sets out that the village has a total of 1,459 dwellings and at 10 dwellings per annum this would supply 170 dwellings to 2028. However, the maximum 20% growth scenario would provide 292 dwellings for the settlement over this period or a difference between 10 dwellings or 17 dwellings per annum. Given that Stamford Bridge is one of the substantial PRSC in this area and in the absence of a Principal Town and with two market towns that are some distance away, we consider that there is a justification for the higher proportion to be accommodated in this village. The village can provides a focus for sustainable development in the Vale of York sub-area and accommodate future housing needs for this area.</p> <p>We object to the fact that the Council is seeking to cap development at 10 dwellings</p>	

Consultee/Agent	ID	Nature Of Response:	Response	Officer Comments
			per annum across all the Primary Rural Service Centres regardless of the individual settlements and their relationship with the surrounding areas that they serve or their capacity to accommodate further growth. There is no evidence base for such an approach and the plan is at risk of being found unsound without further justification.	
Appendix B: Core Strategy Delivery Plan				
Mr David Hickling, Hickling Gray Associates	CSFC/659	Observations	Would this be an appropriate place to discuss the role of the Community Infrastructure Levy in the East Riding of Yorkshire? It is (somewhat conspicuously) not mentioned elsewhere in the document.	Comments noted. The Further Consultation only looked at selective elements. The Draft Strategy Document contains a policy (CI) on developer contributions and the Council is preparing the evidence required to implement a Community Infrastructure Levy.
Mr Zulficar Ali, Environment Agency	CSFC/860	Support	We welcome the inclusion and content of the flood risk and surface water drainage section in this appendix.	Comments noted and support welcomed.
Mr Milner Edward	CSFC/13	Observations	More public transport in rural areas. e.g. minibuses that connect to major bus routes. Also reschedule buses so different routes meet at certain points that allow you to change to get somewhere. eg. Leven Hornsea to Hull and Hornsea to Beverley.	Comments noted. The Local Plan has no direct influence on the provision of buses in rural areas. It does, however, seek to work alongside the Local transport Plan.
Mr Ian Burnett, East Riding of Yorkshire Council	CSFC/203	Observations	Page 70 - In your table you make reference to the A63 Humber Bridgehead Junction. You may want to refer to this as the A63/A15 Humber Bridgehead Junction.	Comments noted.
Mr Matthew Naylor, Yorkshire	CSFC/1015	Observations	Appendix B: Core Strategy Delivery Plan	Comments noted. A more detailed

Consultee/Agent	ID	Nature Of Response:	Response	Officer Comments
Water			<p>Utilities – Sewage Treatment Section</p> <p>We recommend this section be called Waste Water Treatment.</p> <p>As acknowledged the locations identified are likely to require investment in the future through our Asset Management Plan. We suggest clarifying the section on developer funding with regards to what we anticipated through the current investment plan. It may be worth just referencing the Infrastructure Study which explains our investment process. Therefore we suggest the following is added to the ‘sources of funding’ column:</p> <p>“Developers may need to fund investment to infrastructure if a site is brought forward prior to any YW investment in 2015-2020 if not previously allocated or with existing planning permission. Please see Infrastructure Study pages 42-45 for further details.”</p> <p>If you have any queries regarding the above please do not hesitate to get in contact.</p>	<p>infrastructure delivery plan is included in the Draft Strategy Document.</p>
Ms Helen Ledger, Sport England	CSFC/1077	Observations	<p>Appendices – we also fund indoor sports facilities, eg leisure centres; reference could be made to this under Leisure and Amenity. We have supported the authority with research from our national facilities planning model on demand/supply of swimming pools which may also be worth referring to in this context. Your sports development colleagues</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p>

Consultee/Agent	ID	Nature Of Response:	Response	Officer Comments
			will advise your further on this. The delivery of new and protection and enhancement of existing playing fields/open space could also form part of annual monitoring reports meshing in with updates of the playing pitch strategy perhaps.	